Economic Reasons for Investing in Palliative Care

Over 300,000 Victorians are expected to die in the 8 year period to 2021\(^1\), an average annual increase of 7.7%.

Around 154,000 of these people are likely to die in Victorian hospitals, if the current pattern continues – on average over 1,400 extra hospital deaths per year compared to 2012.\(^2\)

Evidence shows that palliative care contributes to more effective and efficient use of health resources in ways that are consistent with the goals of care expressed by people with a life limiting illness and their families. This includes fewer emergency and hospital admissions, shorter lengths of stay, and the elimination of non-beneficial tests and treatments.

a) Hospital use and costs increase significantly in the last year of life.
   - A WA study found an average rate of almost 8 hospital admissions in the last year of life, for on average total of 44 days. 70% had at least one emergency presentation.\(^3\)
   - A NSW study found inpatient costs increase significantly as proximity to death increases. The average cost was 7.5 times higher in the last month before death compared to the sixth month before death.\(^4\)

b) Most people want to receive care and to die at home
   - Over half of all Victorian deaths occur in hospital. This pattern has been steady for the past decade, even though around 70% would prefer to die at home.\(^5\)

c) More people would benefit from palliative care than currently receive it
   - An in-depth UK study examining the underlying causes of death has found that 75% of people who die would benefit from palliative care.\(^6\)
   - In Victoria in 2013, only 37% of people who died in Victorian hospitals had received palliative care during the hospital admission that ended in their death,\(^7\) even though the majority of deaths were due to chronic conditions.

d) Palliative care contributes to more effective use of health resources
   - A 2013 review of 46 international studies found that palliative care is most frequently found to be less costly relative to comparator groups and in most cases the difference in cost is statistically significant.\(^8\)
   - A UK Audit Office review of 36 research studies found average cost savings of 30% for cancer patients who received palliative care in the last year of life.\(^9\)
   - A 2012 matched control study found that the provision of community palliative care services doubled the rate of home deaths (to 76%) and reduced hospital use by between a third and one half compared to the control group.\(^10\)
In Victoria, the lowest acute inpatient bed day rate is 50% more than for inpatient palliative care; it costs 40% less to provide community based specialist palliative over 174 days on average than the average inpatient cost for 3.2 days.¹¹

“…there is extensive use of inpatient services and EDs in the last year of life by people whose deaths are clinically expected…more careful consideration must be given to service design and delivery for this group of vulnerable people.”³
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